

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

		ATES O	wasningt	on, D.C. 20231	This
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	NVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/346,375	07/01/99	CLEMENT		R	2170.00019
Γ		IM22/0705	٦		EXAMINER
BLISS MCGLYNN PC				ELVE, M	l
2075 WEST BI		OAD SUITE 600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1801 11 4000	,1 -4	e		1725	<i>(</i> -
		•		DATE MAILED:	بر 07/05/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Occurs	l ' ' '	Clement et al.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit	
	M. A. Elva		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address-	
P riod for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SI OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defending to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by 	s, a reply within the statutory minim fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.	
Status			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is FINAL.		•	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance ex accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 	cept for formal matters, pros e 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to the merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims			
[Claim(s) / -	-44	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)			
☐ Claim(s)			
☐ Claim(s) / - 4	is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————			
□ Claim(s)		requirement.	
□ Claim(s)			
☐ Claim(s) Applicati n Papers ☐ Se the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948.	requirement.	
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	wing Review, PTO-948.	requirement.	
☐ Claim(s) Applicati n Papers ☐ Se the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved [bjected to by the Examiner.	requirement.	
☐ Claim(s) Applicati n Papers ☐ Se the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Th oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved [bjected to by the Examiner.	requirement.	
Claim(s) Applicati n Papers Se the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are o The drawing(s) filed on is/are o The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Th oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved [bjected to by the Examiner. er.	requirement. □ disapproved.	
□ Claim(s) Applicati n Papers □ Se the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ Th oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	awing Review, PTO-948. is	requirement. □ disapproved. d).	
□ Claim(s) Applicati n Papers □ Se the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are o □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ Th oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority and contact of the CERTIFIED copies.	awing Review, PTO-948. is	requirement. □ disapproved. d).	
□ Claim(s) Applicati n Papers □ Se the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ Th oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Th oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Thought of the Central Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) (d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prioritical □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies	awing Review, PTO-948. is approved (bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(a) of the priority documents ha	requirement. ☐ disapproved. d). ve been	
□ Claim(s) Applicati n Papers □ Se the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ Th oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No.	awing Review, PTO-948. is	requirement. ☐ disapproved. d). ve been	
□ Claim(s) Applicati n Papers □ Se the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ Th oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu □ received in this national stage application from the	awing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(a) of the priority documents has amber) International Bureau (PCT R	requirement. ☐ disapproved. d). ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).	
□ Claim(s) Applicati n Papers □ Se the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ Th oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No.	awing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(a) of the priority documents has amber) International Bureau (PCT R	requirement. ☐ disapproved. d). ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).	
□ Claim(s) Applicati n Papers □ Se the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ Th oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Th oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Th oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Th oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Th oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Th oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	awing Review, PTO-948. is approved (bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(a) of the priority documents has amber) International Bureau (PCT R	requirement. ☐ disapproved. d). ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).	
□ Claim(s) Applicati n Papers □ Se the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	twing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(a) of the priority documents has amber) International Bureau (PCT Report No(s))	requirement. ☐ disapproved. d). ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1725

14

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 17, 19, 20 & 23 are objected to because of the following informalities: (clms. 17, 23) use of "and/or"; (clms. 19-20) trickle/seepage and trickle seepage are identified, which is the correct version? Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Regarding claim 37, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Double Patenting

3. Claims 1-10, 13-18, 21-25, 30-34 & 38-41 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-7 & 10-32 of copending Application No. 09/184,186. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/346,375

Art Unit: 1725

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

5. Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by WO(I) (96/17737).

WO(I) discloses the release of a bonded screens, such as, vehicle windscreens which are bonded to a support frame. Release is generated by the transmission of energy from a delivery means through the screen which degenerates the bonding material (abstract). The energy delivery means is adjacent to the screen and transmits energy through the material, that is, the screen. The energy transmitted through the screen permits the release of the screen from the support frame (page 2). The energy is generally in a wave format and may be electromagnetic wave energy, such as light, or vibrational/sound energy. A preferred embodiment is the use of laser energy having a wavelength in the visible/near infra-red region of the spectrum (page 3). Energy delivery may be through the use of an ultrasonic transducer and this energy is preferably arranged to be focused or concentrated at a predetermined location. Additionally, it is preferred that tuning means is

. . Application/Control Number: 09/346,375

Art Unit: 1725

ķ.

arranged to tune the frequency or intensity of the wave energy delivered by the energy delivery

Page 4

means (page 4). In order to use the laser delivery system to remove a windscreen from its frame,

the system is comprised of a waveguide directing laser radiation from an energy source to an

applicator head which is placed adjacent to the peripheral edge of the windscreen and is directed

through the windscreen. The applicator head includes a beam guide and an on/off switch.

Continuous wave in laser radiation is directed from the applicator head through a localized

portion of the windscreen to impinge upon the bonding bead (page 5). An example of the laser

delivery system parameter is as follows: wavelength 820 nm, donor 60 watts and beam section

18mm by 4mm. There are alternatives to the laser delivery system, in accordance with the

invention, such as, ultrasonic energy (page 6).

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rodgers et al. (US Pat. 5,895,589); JP(I) (abstract) (11267627).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is (703) 308-0092. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan, can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax number for the group is (703) 872-9386.

Any inquiry of general nature to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

M. Alexandra Elve Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700

June 29, 2000.